Filed: February 27, 2007

TC Art Unit: 4122 Confirmation No.: 5682

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated March 5, 2009, Applicant respectfully

requests reconsideration.

Claims 1-21 have been examined. By this submission, Applicant is cancelling

claims 10 and 17; amending claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 16 and 19; and adding claims 22

and 23. As a result, claims 1-9, 11 -16 and 18 - 23 remain in the application. Applicant

respectfully submits that no new matter has been added.

Priority Under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Applicant thanks the Examiner for acknowledging Applicant's claim for foreign

priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119. This application is a National Stage Application filed under

35 U.S.C. § 371.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Examiner indicates that the Information Disclosure Statement filed March 31,

2006 fails to comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(2) by not having a legible copy of each cited

foreign patent document. Applicant is submitting herewith a Supplemental Information

Disclosure Statement along with a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document.

The Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to indicate consideration of these cited

foreign patent documents.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 10 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. As Applicant has

cancelled claims 10 and 17, Applicant respectfully submits that this rejection is now moot.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

-6-

Filed: February 27, 2007 TC Art Unit: 4122

Confirmation No.: 5682

With respect to claim 1, Applicant has amended the claim to remove the use of "it"

and "its." Applicant respectfully submits that support for this amendment to claim 1 is

found at least at page 13, line 4-page 14, line 6; and in Figs. 1-5.

With respect to claim 2, Applicant has amended the claim to provide antecedent

basis.

Claims 2, 4 and 16 stand rejected with respect to the limitation of "Shore hardness."

Applicant has amended the claims to recite that it is Shore hardness "A" and respectfully

submits that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that this is described in the

specification.

In the specification, at least at page 5, lines 18-26; page 6, lines 13-33; and page

19, lines 5-6, Applicant describes the properties of the matrix material and the surface

material on the rollers, for example, in one embodiment, rubber rollers. As one of ordinary

skill in the art knows, there are two Shore scales: the A scale for softer plastics and the D

scale for harder plastics. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art, reading Applicant's

specification, will understand that Shore hardness A is the scale being used for the matrix

material and the roller surface material as described.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the type of Shore hardness is

supported in the specification and claims 2, 4 and 16, as amended, are in compliance with

§ 112.

Claims 4, 5, and 16 have been rejected as being indeterminate because of the use

of the term "preferably." Applicant has amended claims 4, 5 and 16 to remove the use of

the term "preferably" and respectfully submits that these claims are now in compliance with

35 U.S.C. § 112.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being

unpatentable over Okubo, U.S. Patent 5,480,596. Applicant respectfully traverses.

As all claims depend from independent claim 1, Applicant submits that Okubo does

not anticipate that which is recited in independent claim 1, as amended, for at least the

-7-

Filed: February 27, 2007

TC Art Unit: 4122 Confirmation No.: 5682

reason that Okubo does not disclose a tool for generating a microstructured surface

comprising a matrix and a pressure roller drivable over a surface, for pressing a matrix

onto the surface, where the pressure roller and matrix are arranged so that when the

pressure roller is driven over the surface the matrix executes a rolling movement between

the pressure roller and the surface and where a device for accelerating the curing of a

curable material is arranged so that when the pressure roller is driven over the surface the

"curing acceleration device accompanies the movement of the pressure roller and the

curing acceleration device acts on a part of the surface," as recited in claim 1, as

amended.

Okubo is directed to an apparatus and method for producing an optical recording

medium to form a photo-curable resin layer that bears a pattern corresponding to pre-

formatting information. (Abstract). Referring to Okubo, Fig. 1, a substrate sheet 1 is fed

through feed rolls 2 and a photo-cured resin layer 9' is formed on the substrate 1. The

photo-cured resin layer 9' has a pattern formed on it from a roll stamper 3 and, as a result,

a substrate sheet for an optical recording medium is obtained where the patterns of the

stamper have been transferred to the photo-curable resin layer on the substrate sheet.

(Column 4, line 58-Column 5, line 28; Fig. 1).

Okubo discloses that the substrate sheet 1 is transported past the roll stamper 3 in

order to provide the resin layer with the desired pattern. Further, an ultraviolet lamp 13 is

provided above the roll stamper 3 to cure the resin layer 9' as the substrate sheet 1 is

passed through. Thus, Okubo discloses a stationary roll stamper 3 and ultraviolet light 13

that remains in place as a flexible substrate 1 is transported past.

In contrast, as recited in claim 1, as amended, the pressure roller is drivable over a

surface and the matrix is arranged with the pressure roller such that the matrix executes a

rolling movement between the pressure roller and the surface. Further, a device for

accelerating the curing of a curable material is arranged to accompany the movement of

the pressure roller and to act on a part of the surface. Advantageously, embodiments of

the present invention allow for a device that can be moved over a surface to be micro-

structured. It is especially advantageous if large surface areas, for example, airplane

-8-

Filed: February 27, 2007

TC Art Unit: 4122 Confirmation No.: 5682

wings, have to be micro-structured as the tool can be moved instead of the surface.

Okubo discloses only a device for accelerating the curing that is stationary with respect to

a surface to be micro-structured that is moved past the tool, instead of the tool moving

over the surface.

Accordingly, for at least the reasons submitted above, Applicant respectfully

submits that independent claim 1, and its dependent claims 6, 7, 9, 11, 12 and 14, are

patentable over the Okubo reference.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-9, 11-16 and 18-21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Flohr-Shmitt, DE 19613383. Applicant respectfully traverses.

Preliminarily, Applicant notes that Applicant was not provided with a translation of

Flohr-Shmitt and respectfully requests the Examiner to forward the written translation

when it has been obtained. Applicant was, however, able to obtain an English translation

of the Abstract from the German Patent Office web site and has enclosed a copy for the

Examiner's convenience. Notwithstanding the lack of access to an English translation of

Flohr-Shmitt, Applicant assumes, but reserves the right to disagree, that the Examiner's

characterization is accurate.

As all rejected claims depend from independent claim 1, Applicant respectfully

submits that Flohr-Shmitt does not render obvious that which is recited in claim 1, as

amended, for at least the reason that Flohr-Shmitt does not disclose, teach, or suggest a

tool for generating a micro-structured surface having a device for accelerating the curing of

a curable material that is arranged so that when a pressure roller is driven over a surface

"the curing acceleration device accompanies the movement of the pressure roller" and the

curing acceleration device acts on a part of the surface, as recited in claim 1.

Flohr-Shmitt is directed to a die that applies micro-structures, especially flat surface

holograms, defractive structures or holographic optical elements to an object. The die

material is a plastic which hardens after processing while retaining flexibility. (Abstract).

To the best of Applicant's ability, it is understood that the surface 10 passes through the

micro-structure surface roller 16 and another roller 14. It is clear that the surface 10 is

-9-

FAX. (617) 451-0313

Filed: February 27, 2007

TC Art Unit: 4122 Confirmation No.: 5682

moving through the two rollers 14, 16 as indicated by the arrow showing movement of the

surface 10.

Thus, for reasons similar to those submitted above with respect to Okubo, Applicant

respectfully submits that Flohr-Shmitt does not render obvious that which is recited in the

pending claims as Flohr-Shmitt, similar to Okubo, is directed to a stationary system and

not to one that is meant to move over a surface on which a micro-structure is to be

applied.

As new claims 22 and 23 depend, either directly or indirectly, from independent

claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that these claims are also patentable over the cited

references of record.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant believes the pending claims are in condition for

allowance and a notice to this effect is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is encouraged to

telephone the undersigned attorney to discuss any matter that would expedite allowance

of the present application. The Examiner is hereby authorized to charge any fees due to

this submission under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17, or credit any balance, to Deposit

Account No. 23-0804.

Respectfully submitted,

Volkmar Stenzel et al.

By: /charles I gagnebin iii/

Charles L. Gagnebin III Registration No. 25,467

Attorney for Applicant(s)

WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP

Ten Post Office Square

Boston, MA 02109

Tel: (617) 542-2290 Fax: (617) 451-0313

381002.1

-10-